



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	re fir	RST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,390	12/12/200)1	David Botstein	39780-2830.053 US	39780-2830.053 US 9959	
35489	7590 12	/08/2004	EXAMINER			
	HRMAN WHIT	FREDMAN, JI	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN			
MENLO PARK, CO 94025-3506				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1637		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		î	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/015,390	BAKER ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2004 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acceptant, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by the control of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the set forth in the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ansion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate expressions. In the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under r (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	t's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected cla	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo		·	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been cor See Continuation Sheet.	nsidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which w	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)☐ will be entered elow or appended.	d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33,38-40 and 44-54</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		1	

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1637

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/015,390

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration is now proper and is considered. However, for the reasons of record, the declaration is insufficient to overcome the prior art reference..